MONTE GUESS,

**CIVIL DIVISION** 

Plaintiff;

Docket No.:

vs.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

Defendant.

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff:

MONTE GUESS

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Steven Auerbach, Esquire

Law Office of Steven T. Auerbach

822 Montgomery Ave.

Suite 210

Narberth, PA. 19072

Ph: (215) 964-4410

Fax: (610) 667-7305

Steven@TheAuerbachFirm.com

Pa. I.D. #317309

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MONTE GUESS,		)	CIVIL DIVISION
	Plaintiff;	)	
		)	Docket No.:
vs.		)	
		)	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,		)	
	Defendant.	)	

### **I. COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION**

Monte Guess ("Mr. Guess" or "Plaintiff"), by his attorney, Steven Auerbach, complaining of City of Philadelphia ("Defendant" or "Employer"), alleges:

#### **II. INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This action is brought to remedy claims of employment discrimination on the basis of sex and retaliation under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000 et seq. and under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 PA. Cons. Stat. § 951 et seq.
- 2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief, economic, compensatory, attorneys' fees and all other appropriate relief pursuant to governing law.

### **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1343(a) (4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violation of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.
- 4. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over

Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S, 310 (1945) and its progeny.

5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendants are deemed to reside where they are subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### IV. PARTIES

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 7. Plaintiff Monte Guess is an adult individual who resides in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 8. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was and remains an "Employee" of the Defendant within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §2000e-f and related state law(s).
- 9. Defendant City of Philadelphia is a political subdivision organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 10. Defendant was and remains an "Employer" of the Plaintiff within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §2000e-(b), (g), and (h) and related state law(s).
- 11. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.
- 12. Defendant has and continues to employ over five hundred (500) employees per calendar year for at least the last five (5) years, and Defendant engages in a variety of revenue-generating, business relationships.

### V. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

- 13. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 14. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies.
- 15. On October 4, 2018, Plaintiff filed a complaint of hostile work environment, sexual harassment, and retaliation with the Mayor's Office of Labor Relations ("Office of Labor Relations") against his supervisor and agent of Defendant, Sheriff Jewell Williams ("Sheriff Williams").
- 16. Plaintiff then filed a timely initial charge of employment discrimination with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on December 26, 2018 and directed same to cross-file with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC").
- 17. Plaintiff received his Right to Sue Notice from the EEOC on April 18, 2019. A true and correct copy of this notice is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 18. Plaintiff commenced and initial, un-amended action within ninety (90) days of receipt of his Right to Sue Notice.

### **VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 19. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 20. In May of 1998 and extending through the present (the "Term of Employment"), Plaintiff worked for Defendant in a variety of capacities.
- 21. During the Term of Employment, Plaintiff was subject to harassing and discriminatory conduct related to sex.

- 22. On or after December 2013, Sheriff Williams became aware that Plaintiff was in a romantic relationship with another Employee, Marlaina Williams<sup>1</sup>. From that point forward, Sheriff Williams expressed his disapproval and jealousy of this relationship in a variety of ways:
  - a) I'm jealous that he [Plaintiff] gets to go home with you [Marlaina Williams] and not me; and
  - b) I'm hating on your relationship; and
  - c) You [Marlaina Williams] should leave him [Plaintiff] and be with me; and
  - d) How could you [Marlaina Williams] work for me and date someone in my office; and
  - e) I'm gonna transfer your boyfriend [Plaintiff] and put him on the overnight shift so y'all can't spend any time together; and
  - f) Your son [Marlaina Williams' son] should really be mine and your name should really be Marliana Jewell Williams; and
  - g) I haven't had sex in two years, so if I'm not happy no one's gonna be happy.
- 23. In addition to statements made directly by Sheriff Williams, an atmosphere was purposefully created wherein other employees felt at liberty to discuss intimate details of Plaintiff's relationship.
- 24. In further response to Plaintiff's relationship, Jewell Williams levied false accusations against Plaintiff alleging that he was an FBI information "out to get him." This was calculated to adversely affect Plaintiff's reputation with his colleagues and this conduct persisted notwithstanding Plaintiff's protests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Not related to Sheriff Jewell Williams.

- 25. As a consequence of Sheriff Williams' unlawful actions/inactions, Marlaina Williams and other employees such as Vanessa Bines and Dolores Ramos filed related complaints with the Office of Labor Relations, EEOC, and with the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 25. These individuals named Plaintiff as a witness to support their allegations of sexual harassment.
- 26. On multiple occasions subsequent to the above-referenced individuals' filing of complaints, Sheriff Williams attempted to intimidate Plaintiff from participating as a cooperating witness and to otherwise coerce Plaintiff into preventing these cases from progressing.
- 27. Jewell Williams retaliated against Plaintiff in a variety of ways incident his participation as a witness and refusal to prevent others from exercising their protected rights.
- 28. After Marlaina Williams filed her complaint, Plaintiff was removed from his position from Internal Affairs as well as other assignments and was led to believe that he would be removed from his position in the DART Unit as well.
- 29. Sheriff Williams, or his assignee, then levied and circulated false accusations that Plaintiff had sexually harassed an employee, was gay, and was a pimp.
- 30. In the summer of 2018, all individuals who held Plaintiff's position were promoted except for Plaintiff.
- 31. In further retaliation Sheriff Williams openly expressed to others in and around the office of his intention to "get" Plaintiff after his reelection.

## COUNT I: GUESS V. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") Violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA") (Sex)

32. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 33. In violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and PHRA, Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her sex and by failing to protect him from pier/supervisor misconduct.
- 34. Defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and/or negligently in violation of these Acts.
- 35. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable mental and physical injury as well as monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct as described herein.

## COUNT II: GUESS V. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA <u>Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII")</u> <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA")</u> (Retaliation)

- 36. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 37. In violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the PHRA, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for failing to suppress others from exercising their legal rights and from testifying adversely against his supervisor.
- 38. Defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and/or negligently in violation of these Acts.
- 39. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable mental and physical injury as well as monetary damages as a result of Defendant's retaliatory conduct as described herein.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing:

- a. That the Court issue a declaratory judgment that the acts, policies, and practices complained herein are in violation of Title VII and the PHRA; and
- b. That the Court enjoin Defendant from continuing its acts, policies, and practices which violate Title VII and the PHRA; and

\*\* INBOUND NOTIFICATION : FAX RECEIVED SUCCESSFULLY \*\*

TIME RECEIVED Case 2:19-cv-01949-CDJ REMOREUM AND 1 FILE RECEIVED CASE 2:36:35 PM EDT 50 1 Received

05/07/2019 13:55 (FAX) P.001/001

c. Directing Defendant to reinstate Plaintiff to the position he would have occupied but for

Defendant's unlawful conduct, making her whole for all earning she would have received

but for Defendant's unlawful conduct, including but not limited to wages, bonuses and

other lost benefits; and

d. Directing Defendant to make Plaintiff whole by providing compensation for past and

future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described

above, with interest, in amounts to be determined at trial pursuant; and

e. Directing Defendant to make Plaintiff whole by providing compensation for past and

future non-pecuniary losses caused by the above unlawful conduct, including pain and

suffering, emotional distress, indignity, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of self-esteem, and

humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial; and

f. Granting such other relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** 

Pursuant to FRCP 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised

by the complaint.

Dated: 5/3/19

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Steven Auerbach

822 Montgomery Ave.

Suite 210

Narberth, PA. 19072

Ph: (215) 964-4410

Fax: (610) 667-7305

MONTE GUESS,	)	CIVIL DIVISION
Plaintiff;	)	
	)	Docket No.:
vs.	)	
	)	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,	j	
Defendant.	)	

### **VERIFICATION**

I, Monte Guess, hereby state I am the plaintiff in this action, and I verify that the statements made in the foregoing pleading are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 28 U.S.C. §1746 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 5/3/19

MONTE GUESS

# Exhibit 1

EEOC Form 161-B (11/16)

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

4217	Monte Guess Mill Steet adelphia, PA 19136		From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107
	en e			• ,
	On behalf of person(s) aggriev CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §160			
EEOC Char	ge No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.
		Legal Unit,		
530-2019	-01477	Legal Technician		(215) 440-2828
NOTICE TO T	HE PERSON AGGRIEVED:		(See also t	he additional information enclosed with this form.)
Act (GINA) been issued of your rec	: This is your Notice of Right to d at your request. Your lawsuit	o Sue, issued under Title VII, the A t under Title VII, the ADA or GINA	DA or GINA must be file	bor the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination based on the above-numbered charge. It has an indicate the difference of the state court WITHIN 90 DAYS are time limit for filing suit based on a claim under
	More than 180 days have p	assed since the filing of this charg	е.	
X		assed since the filing of this charginistrative processing within 180 d		determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will filing of this charge.
X	The EEOC is terminating its	processing of this charge.		
	The EEOC will continue to	process this charge.		
				time from 60 days after the charge was filed untiligard, the paragraph marked below applies to
				must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> sed on the above-numbered charge will be lost.
		handling of your ADEA case. Hover state court under the ADEA at the		lays have passed since the filing of the charge,
in federal or	state court within 2 years (3 ye		ged EPA unde	harge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought erpayment. This means that backpay due for ot be collectible.
lf you file su	it, based on this charge, please	send a copy of your court complain	int to this offic	e.
		On behalf of the o	Commission,	April 17, 2019
Enclosures	s(s)	Jamie R. W District I		(Date Mailed)
001				

Linda Busillo Senior Legal Assistant Supervisor PHILADELPHIA LAW DEPARTMENT 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Steven T. Auerbach, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN T. AUERBACH 822 Montgomery Avenue, Suite 210 Narberth, PA 19072

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

purpose of initiating the civil d			the United States in September 1	1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Monte Guery		DEFENDANTS	01 71 1 44	
	,		City of	Philadelyhin	
(b) County of Residence		) hiladelphin	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Philadelphi
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF LAND INVOLVED.	•
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number Steven T. Averber		Attorneys (If Known)		
822 Muntsumery	Ave. Suite 210				
	12 (215) 964-		U. CHENZENGINE OF D	DINGYD I I DIDETEG	···
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ne Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti, and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	TF DEF    D   Incorporated or Pr   of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and For of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					of Suit Code Descriptions.
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  ☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Core/	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud		SOCIAL SECURITY  B61 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	Act  720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -  Medical Malpractice	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Leave Act  790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information  Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	income security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability☐	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes
	Employment  ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application☐ 465 Other Immigration	1	
	Other  ☐ 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Actions		
	_ ///	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -			
		Conditions of Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is					
	ate Court	Appellate Court	(specify)	er District Litigation Transfer	
*** C. ****	1/1/2011/11/11		iling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	nuse: Discrimination t	Retaliza		
VII. REQUESTED IN	□ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		\$495 000	JURY DEMAND:	. /
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	, <i>(</i>	DOCKET NUMBER	***************************************
DATE 5/3/19		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD	-	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	ADDI VINIC IED	HIDOR	MAG 777	ACE.
ALCEITI# AF	400IYI	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	МАС. ЛИС	VJE

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Nu	mber	E-Mail Address	
215) 984-4410	(610) 68	67-7305	Averbach. Steven 0 g M	ial, con
Date			Attorney for	
5/5/19	Steven T	Averber	Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Manager	ment – Cases that do	not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(V)
commonly referre	ed to as complex and to verse side of this form	that need special	s (a) through (d) that are l or intense management by explanation of special	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases exposure to asbest		personal injury	or property damage from	( )
(c) Arbitration – Case	es required to be design	gnated for arbitr	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
	Cases requesting revices denying plaintiff S		n of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )
(a) Habeas Corpus –	Cases brought under	28 U.S.C. § 224	-1 through § 2255.	( )
SELECT ONE OF T	HE FOLLOWING	CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complet filing the complaint ar side of this form.) Is designation, that defeathe plaintiff and all of to which that defendate	te a Case Management of serve a copy on all of the event that a described and shall, with its fither parties, a Case Munt believes the case slower than the case shall are the case shal	nt Track Designar defendants. (See fendant does no irst appearance, anagement Track hould be assignated		me of everse g said ve on
city of pr	rile delph ~	:	NO.	
	v.	•		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Monte Guess

## Case 2:19-cv-01949-Grider Squares of 14 For the eastern district of Pennsylvania

### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 4217 WIII	Street, Philadelphia, PA 19136
	or, 1515 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Philadelphia
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: X Judge:	X Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the foll	owing questions:
<ol> <li>Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered su previously terminated action in this court?</li> </ol>	t pending or within one year Yes No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the sepending or within one year previously terminated action in this co	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent alr numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security case filed by the same individual?	ppeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No
this court except as noted above.	lated to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in
DATE: 05/03/2019	317309
Attorney	at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract	
T. L. Z. FELA	
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust	<ul><li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li><li>3. Assault, Defamation</li></ul>
<ul> <li>3. Jones Act-Personal Injury</li> <li>4. Antitrust</li> <li>5. Patent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> <li>5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> <li>5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> <li>6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):</li> <li>7. Products Liability</li> <li>8. Products Liability – Asbestos</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> <li>5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> <li>6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):</li> <li>7. Products Liability</li> <li>8. Products Liability – Asbestos</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> <li>5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> <li>6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):</li> <li>7. Products Liability</li> <li>8. Products Liability – Asbestos</li> <li>9. All other Diversity Cases</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> <li>5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> <li>6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):</li> <li>7. Products Liability</li> <li>8. Products Liability – Asbestos</li> <li>9. All other Diversity Cases</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	<ul> <li>2. Airplane Personal Injury</li> <li>3. Assault, Defamation</li> <li>4. Marine Personal Injury</li> <li>5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> <li>6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):</li> <li>7. Products Liability</li> <li>8. Products Liability – Asbestos</li> <li>9. All other Diversity Cases</li> </ul>
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBIT  (The effect of this certification	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):  RATION CERTIFICATION
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBIT (The effect of this certification I,	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):  RATION CERTIFICATION is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  oro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBIT (The effect of this certification  I,	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):  RATION CERTIFICATION is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  oro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBIT (The effect of this certification  The effect of this certification  ARBIT (The effect of this certification  The effect of this certification  Steven Auerbach  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and cos	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):  RATION CERTIFICATION is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  oro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  Steven Auerbach  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and cos Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 05/03/2019	2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   8. Products Liability   Asbestos   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):